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Abstract

We previously described a targeted genomic differential display method (TGDD: Broude NE, Chandra A, Smith CL. Differential display of genomic subsets containing specific interspersed repeats. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 1997;94:4548–53). In that method, presently characterized as method I, targeting was accomplished by capturing DNA fragments containing specific a sequence by hybridization with complementary single-stranded DNA. The captured fragments were amplified by PCR. Here, we describe method II where targeting is accomplished by PCR using primers specific to the target sequence. Method II takes advantage of PCR suppression to eliminate fragments not containing the target sequence (Siebert PDA, Chenchik A, Kellogg DE, Lukyanov KA and Lukyanov SA. An improved PCR method for walking in uncloned genomic DNA. Nucleic Acids Res 1995;23:1087–1088). Targeting focuses analysis on and around interesting areas and additionally serves to reduce the complexity of the amplified subset. These approaches are useful to amplify genome subsets containing a variety of targets including various conserved sequences coding for cis-acting elements or protein motifs. © 1999 Published by Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved.
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1. Introduction

Numerous methods are available to compare genomes. Many focus on comparing random fragments of genomic DNA. In simple organisms, this may involve a mere compilation of restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLPs) or even DNA sequences directly. In contrast it is imperative that sample complexity be reduced in large genomes. Human DNA fingerprinting was accomplished by Southern hybridization with minisatellite sequences [1,2]. This methodology was largely replaced by a variety of polymerase chain reaction (PCR) methods. PCR methods that reduce complexity in a random fashion include randomly amplified fragment polymorphism (RAPD: [3–6]) and amplified restriction fragment length polymorphism (AFLP: [7]). Some PCR fingerprinting methods use primers targeted to specific interspersed (e.g. inter-Alu PCR; [8,9]) sequences.

Simple repeat sequences have been used to assess genome stability during development and during disease processes such as cancer [10,11]. Simple repeat sequences, e.g. microsatellite sequences, are used extensively as genetic markers (e.g. sequence tagged sites (STSs); [12]) because they are extremely polymorphic. In most studies individual loci in different samples are tested and compared. Methods for isolating genomic DNA fragments containing interspersed simple repeat sequences are available. Most involve the screening of clone libraries for the repeat sequences. PCR-based approaches have been described that use [13–16] or do not use [12,17] a repeat capturing step before amplifica-
tion. For example, microsatellite sequences may be identified in cloned DNA samples (YAC’s, P1, cosmids, bacteriophages or plasmid clones) by PCR using primers to a dinucleotide repeat and a vector sequence [18,19].

Subtractive hybridization is another way of comparing genomes. This method allows the isolation of an inserted or deleted sequence difference between two samples [20,21]. In complex genomes, the most successful subtractive hybridization experiments analyze random differences in expressed sequences (e.g. [22]). Differential display (DD: [23]) also analyzes random differences in expressed sequences. DD creates RNA fingerprints by displaying size fractionated randomly amplified cDNAs. The focus on RNA provides a simple and meaningful complexity reduction.

We recently described a comparative genomic method that focused analysis on and around \((CAG)_n\) repeating sequences [24]. This method uses a sequence-specific capture step and PCR amplification to isolate genome subsets containing these sequences. Adapter-tagged genomic restriction fragments (e.g. restriction fragments ligated to known oligonucleotides) containing a target sequence are captured by hybridization to an immobilized complementary single stranded probe. The captured fragments are then amplified by PCR using only primers complementary to the adapter sequences (A-primer) or in the presence of primers complementary to the targeted sequence (T-primer). The PCR amplified and labeled fragments either are displayed sorted by size on a high resolution DNA sequencing gel as in DD to create a DNA fingerprint or are used to create libraries of cloned target sequences, e.g. of polymorphic simple repeats in \(Trypanosoma cruzi\) [25].

We also developed a second genomic targeting approach, solely using PCR, and used it to analyze genomic differences between monozygotic twins [26,27]. The second method uses a semi-nested PCR protocol and takes advantage of PCR suppression (PS: [28,22]) to amplify fragments containing the target and a flanking sequence. The details of method II are described in this manuscript.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Materials

Nonphosphorylated oligonucleotides (Table 1) were purchased from Operon Technologies (Alameda, CA) and Cy5 labeled oligonucleotides were delivered from Amitof (Boston, MA). Genomic DNA was isolated from anonymous human blood and buccal smears. Monozygotic twin samples were obtained from cell lines provided by [29], and from a E. Fuller Torrey Huntington Disease (HD) affected kindred provided by Jim Guzella (CEPH pedigree 102: [30]). Genomic DNA was prepared by standard phenol extraction procedure.

Human DNA from buccal smears was obtained by scraping both cheeks several times. Samples were suspended in 500 \(\mu\)l of PBS buffer. Genomic DNA was isolated using a Qiagen Blood Kit according to the manufacturer’s directions. Tissue samples from male Sprague-Dawleys rats for mRNA preparation were donated by Harry Gavras. mRNA was isolated from approximately 250 mg of heart, medulla/pons and cerebellum, using a Qiagen Oligotex Direct mRNA Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) with two final elutions of 50 \(\mu\)l each.

2.2. Semi-nested PCR-based genomic targeting method

Phenol extracted genomic DNA (300 ng) was digested with 10 units of the restriction enzymes \(Hae\) III or \(Sau\) 96 I at 37°C overnight in a 100 \(\mu\)l total volume reaction. The recessed \(Sau\) 96 I ends were filled in using 0.25 units of AmpliTaq (Perkin-Elmer, Norwalk, CT), and 1.25 units of Klenow polymerase. After restriction digestion, the products were ligated to known adapters. The ligation mix was amplified by PCR using only primers complementary to the adapter sequence (T-primer).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Oligonucleotides used</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sequence (5’ to 3’)</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>TGTAGCCGTGAAGACGACA-GAGGGGCGGTGAGGGCCTG-GTGCAGAGGGGCGGT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>ACCGCCCCCCTCG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>TGTAGCCGTGAAGACGACAGAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>AGGGCGTGTGCCGAGGGGGCGGT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>AGGGCGTGTGCCGAGGGGGCGGT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>AGGGCGTGTGCCGAGGGGGCGGT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>AGGGCGTGTGCCGAGGGGGCGGT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>AGGGCGTGTGCCGAGGGGGCGGT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>AGGGCGTGTGCCGAGGGGGCGGT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>AGGGCGTGTGCCGAGGGGGCGGT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>AGGGCGTGTGCCGAGGGGGCGGT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>A(A-C-T)(CTG)_{10}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>(CTG)_{10}A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>(CTG)_{10}AC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>(CTG)_{10}AT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>AGGGCGTGTGCCGAGGGGGCGGT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>ATGAAAGCGCTTCAAGTCCTT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>CGCGCTGAGGAAGCTGAGGA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
in Perkin-Elmer’s 1X PCR buffer I (10 mM Tris–HCl, pH 8.3, 50 mM KCl, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 0.001% (w/v) gelatin) and 100 μM dNTPs at 72°C for 1 min. DNA was ethanol precipitated, dissolved in 20 μl of sterile water and blunt-end ligated to an excess (2 μM each) of complementary adapter oligonucleotides (numbers 1 and 2, Table 1) at 16°C overnight in a 30 μl final volume, containing 50 mM Tris–HCl, pH 7.6, 10 mM MgCl₂, 0.5 mM ATP, 10 mM dithiothreitol and 5 units of T4 DNA ligase (Life Technologies, Gaithersburg, MD). The complementary oligonucleotides used as adapters were of different lengths to insure the adapters ligated to the blunt ended genomic fragments with the same polarity. The ligation reactions produced genomic restriction fragments with 5’ 26-base single-stranded overhangs. The ligation was terminated by incubation at 75°C for 5 min. The adapter-tagged restriction fragments were purified from unligated adapter oligonucleotides by passing the samples through Wizard DNA purification columns (Promega, Madison, WI) and eluted into 50 μl of sterile water.

Usually, the prepared DNA fragments (3–5 ng) were amplified by PCR in a 50 μl reaction volume in Perkin-Elmer’s PCR buffer II (10 mM Tris–HCl, pH 8.3, 50 mM KCl) plus 2.5 mM MgCl₂, 250 μM of each dNTP and 2.5 units of AmpliTaq DNA polymerase. Hot start PCR was performed at 94°C by adding 0.2 μM each of the adapter-primer (A-primer) and fluorescein labeled CTG-containing target-primer (T-primer), e.g. oligonucleotides 3 and 12 or 14 (Table 1), respectively, or by the addition of AmpliTaq. Variations form this basic protocol are indicated in the results section.

The PCR mixtures were subjected to 20–25 amplification cycles consisting of incubations at 94°C for 3 s, 65°C for 20 s and 72°C for 30 s in the PTC-100™ Temperature Cycler (MJ Res., Watertown, MA). The products of this first PCR reaction were diluted 1000-fold and used as templates for a second PCR amplification. In the second PCR amplification oligonucleotide 3 in the first reaction was replaced by one of seven oligonucleotides 4 through 11 (Table 1). The anchor bases vary in A-primers designed 4–11 in Table 1. Anchor sequences anneal the primer to genomic sequences adjacent to the HaeIII site and are used to reduce the complexity of the PCR product.

The PCR products (1–2 μl) were denatured for 3 min at 90°C in a stop solution (Pharmacia Biotech, Uppsala, Sweden) containing 6 mg/ml of dextran blue and 0.1% sodium dodecyl sulfate in deionized formamide, loaded onto a 6% denaturing polyacrylamide gel (PAAg) and analyzed on the ALF DNA sequencing instrument (Pharmacia Biotech). The results were visualized using the Fragment Manager software provided with the instrument. A fluorescein-labeled 50 base pair (bp) ladder (50–500 bp; Pharmacia Biotech) was used as a size marker.

2.3. TGDD of HD alleles

TGDD of Sau96 I restriction fragments from DNA of HD-affected individuals was executed as described above, except that oligonucleotides 12 and 16 (Table 1) were used in the second PCR. The PCR products obtained were diluted 500-fold and reamplified by PCR with oligonucleotide 12 and HD-specific oligonucleotide 17 (Table 1). To estimate the length of CAG repeats in the Huntington gene a HD-specific PCR was performed as described in [24] using HD-specific primers (oligonucleotide 17 and 18, Table 1). Amplified products were analyzed on an ALF DNA sequencer after ten cycles.

2.4. Targeted DD (TDD) of cDNAs containing (CAG)ₙ-repeat sequences

cDNA synthesis was performed using the Clontech PCR-Select™ cDNA Subtraction Kit (Clontech, Palo Alto, CA) as recommended by the manufacturer. Isolated mRNA (~2 μg) was ethanol precipitated, redissolved in 4 μl of sterile water, mixed with 20 pmoles (1 μl) of first strand primer (oligonucleotide 19, Table 1), heated to 70°C for 2 min and then cooled on ice for 2 min. The first strand was synthesized in a 10 μl reaction containing 50 mM Tris–HCl (pH 8.5), 8 mM MgCl₂, 30 mM KCl, 1 mM dithiothreitol, 1 mM each dNTP and 20 units of AMV reserve transcriptase. After incubation for 90 min at 42°C, the reaction tubes were kept on ice while the following components were added: 48.4 μl sterile water, 16 μl 5X second strand synthesis buffer (1X = 100 mM KCl, 10 mM NH₄SO₄, 5 mM MgCl₂, 0.15 mM β-NAD, 20 mM Tris–HCl (pH 7.5), 50 μg/ml bovine serum albumin), 1.6 μl dNTP mixture containing 20 pmoles of each deoxynucleotide triphosphate and 4 μl of an enzyme cocktail containing 24 units DNA polymerase I, 1 unit RNase H and 4.8 units of Escherichia coli DNA ligase. The reaction was incubated for 120 min at 16°C, 2 μl (6 units) of T4 DNA polymerase were added and the sample was incubated for an additional 30 min at 16°C. The reaction was stopped by addition of 10 mM EDTA-50 μg/ml glycogen (final concentrations). The double stranded cDNAs were purified by phenol/chloroform/isoamyl alcohol extraction, ethanol precipitated and redissolved in 50 μl of water. Samples (600 ng) were digested with 20 units of restriction enzyme Rsal and ligated to adapter oligonucleotides (oligonucleotides 1 and 2, Table 1) as described above. Excess adapters and enzyme were removed using a Qiaquick PCR purification Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany).

A semi-nested PCR was carried out to target (CAG)ₙ fragments. cDNA (5–10 ng) was first amplified in a 25 μl PCR (50 mM Tris–HCl, pH 8.3, 16 mM NH₄SO₄, 5 mM MgCl₂, 150 μg/ml bovine serum albumin and 200
μM each dNTP) with 0.2 μM of oligonucleotide 3 and Cy5 labeled target oligonucleotide 13 (Table 1) and 9.4 units (or 1.25 units in later experiments) of KlenTaql DNA polymerase (Ab Peptides, St. Louis, MO) in 22 or 25 cycles (94°C for 15 s, 68°C for 30 s and 72°C for 60 s). Two μl of 1:100 diluted first PCR were used as template in a second PCR (25 μl reaction volume with 10 mM Tris–HCl, pH 8.3, 50 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl₂ and 200 μM of each dNTP) with 0.2 μM of oligonucleotide 6 (Table 1), 0.2 μM of the same Cy5 labeled T-primer used in the first PCR and 1.25 U AmpliTaq polymerase (Perkin-Elmer, Norwalk, CT) in 20 or 22 cycles (cycle conditions as described above). All PCRs were performed using TaqStart antibody (Clontech) as directed by the manufacturer to guarantee hot start.

2.5. Cloning and DNA sequencing of targeted amplification products

Randomly chosen PCR amplification products obtained from Sau96 I-digested DNA were cloned and sequenced to investigate the specificity of the method. In these experiments, oligonucleotides 12 and 3 (first PCR; Table 1) and oligonucleotides 12 and 16 (second PCR; Table 1) were used as the T- and A-primers, respectively. The PCR products from the second PCR amplification were cloned using a TA Cloning Kit (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). Plasmid DNAs were isolated and sequenced using a Sequenase 2.0 Kit (Phar-macia Biotech) and an ALF sequencing instrument.

To isolate differential fragments specific DNA bands were excised from a PAAG (see above) or 5% 3:1 (weight:weight) NuSieve: LE agarose (obtained from FMC BioProducts, Rockland, ME), purified using the GeneClean Kit (Bio 101, Vista, CA), reamplified by PCR using the same oligonucleotides used in the second PCR of the original TGDD experiment and cloned using the TA Cloning Kit from Invitrogen; 4–6 clones were sequenced.

3. Results

3.1. Principles of a semi-nested PCR based TGDD method

The two-step semi-nested PCR-based amplification scheme is shown in Fig. 1. Briefly, the PCR templates are tagged genomic restriction fragments. The tags are 40-base pair (bp) oligonucleotides (adapters) ligated to the ends of the restriction fragments. A GC-rich 40-base adapter sequence is used to promote the annealing of complementary adapter sequences to each other, forming a hairpin structure. The annealed adapter ends suppress annealing/extension of shorter PCR primers (A-primers) complementary to the adapter sequence. This effect has been called PS for PCR suppression [28,22].

Under PS conditions, efficient PCR amplification is achieved when two primers are used. One 20-mer A-primer corresponds to one of the self-complementary end adapter sequences. The second oligonucleotide, termed the T-primer, is complementary to a target sequence located in the single-stranded section of end-anealed genomic fragments. Single-stranded PCR products, produced by extension of an annealed T-primer no longer have complementary ends. Hence, these fragments will not be subjected to PS and will be efficiently PCR amplified. Meanwhile, genomic fragments that do not contain the target sequence remain end-anealed and are not replicated using the A-primer. Occasional extension of an annealed A-primer to an original template containing two adapter end sequences will produce a single-strand fragment that is subjected to PS because of its complementary end sequences. Thus, PS enhances targeting by inhibiting PCR amplification of fragments not containing the target sequence.

Most of the experiments described here target CAG-repeat sequences. It should be noted that the use of a T-primer containing a CTG-repeat amplifies a unique sequence flanking one side of the repeat sequence, whereas the other flanking sequence is amplified when the T-primer contains a CAG-repeat sequence.

3.2. Anchored PCR controls the complexity and composition of the selected PCR products

The complexity of a particular genome subset analyzed by TGDD is modulated by the total number of occurrences of the targeted sequence and the distance to adjacent occurrences of the restriction enzyme recognition site. The size range of the method used for analysis is also important for complexity control. The use of anchored PCR primers aids targeting by allowing a further controlled complexity reduction. Anchored primers have unique nucleotides at their 3’ end or at their 5’ end. The 3’ unique nucleotides are used to anchor the primer to a unique genomic sequence adjacent to the target or the adapter sequences so that only a subset of the fragments is amplified.

Targeted genomic differential display (TGDD) of (CAG)ᵣ-repeats containing genomic HaeIII fragments is shown in Fig. 2. In these experiments HaeIII digested genomic DNA was ligated to adapters designated oligonucleotides 1 and 2 in Table 1. In the first PCR amplification, the A-primer was a 21-mer (oligonucleotide 3, Table 1) corresponding to the outermost portion of the ligated adapter oligonucleotide. The fluorescein-labeled T-primer (oligonucleotide 12, Table 1) was composed of a 3’ CTG-repeating sequence plus two unique anchor nucleotides at the 5’ end.
Fig. 1. Schematic of the semi-nested PCR protocol developed for TGDD. The first PCR uses a T-primer complementary to the target sequence and an A-primer complementary to the outermost portion of the adapter sequences ligated onto the end of the genomic restriction fragments. The second PCR uses the same T primer and an A-primer complementary to the 3'-portion of the ligated adapter sequence (see text for details).

The products of the first PCR were diluted and used as templates in a second PCR. The first and second PCR used the same T-primers but different A-primers. The A-primer in the second PCR reaction was a 26- or 27-mer oligonucleotide containing a 5' terminal 22-base segment complementary to the innermost adapter sequence plus a 3' terminal tetranucleotide (CCTT) or pentanucleotide (CCTTA, CCTTG, or CCTTT) se-
quence. The CC dinucleotide anneals to HaeIII sequences remaining on the restriction fragments. The 3’ terminal TT, TTA, TTG and TTT bases (lanes 1, 2, 3 and 4, respectively, Fig. 2) anchored the A-primers to complementary genomic sequences adjacent to the HaeIII recognition site. Complexity reduction by anchor PCR is roughly proportional to the length of the anchor (e.g. dinucleotide and trinucleotide anchors should reduce the CAG-containing HaeIII fragment complexity by 16-fold and 64-fold, respectively) and more specifically proportional to the frequency of occurrence of the anchor sequence. For instance, the relative frequency of occurrence of a 3’ CA dinucleotide is 34% compared to 5–10% for the other possible dinucleotide anchors in primate sequence from GenBank release 106.0 on 15 May 1998.

The data in Fig. 2 shows that different genome subsets were displayed when the same T-primer was

Fig. 3. TGDD comparison of (CAG)_n-repeat containing genomic subsets from two pairs of monozygotic twins (pair 1 lanes 1 and 1A; pair 2 lanes 2 and 2A). Both PCR amplifications used a 3’-anchored fluorescein labeled T-primer (oligonucleotide 12, Table 1). The A-primers were oligonucleotides 3 and 8 (Table 1) in the first and second PCR amplifications, respectively. Arrows indicate differences between unrelated twin pairs (↓) and between individuals of one twin pair (↑). See text and Fig. 2 legend for details.

used in combination with A-primers having the same adapter sequence but varying anchor sequences. PCR amplification depended on the presence of both an A- and a T-primer, since no PCR products were detected when these oligonucleotides were used alone (e.g. lane 5). PCR using an A-primer with a 3 base anchor and a 2 base 5’ anchored T-primer were used in the experiment shown in Fig. 2. Several hundred fragments within the size range of ~100 to ~800 bp (lane 2–4, Fig. 2) were amplified.

A complexity reduction was achieved using 3’ terminal anchors on both A- and T-primers (Fig. 3). These experiments used DNA samples from monozygotic twins. PCR amplification was performed with a 3’-terminal anchored T-primer with two anchor bases (oligonucleotide 14, Table 1) and a 3’-terminal anchored A-primer with three anchor nucleotides (oligonucleotide 8, Table 1). In these experiments the number of detected peaks was reduced from several hundred (compare Fig. 2) to 9 distinguished clearly visible peaks in the size range between 100 and 400 bp (Fig. 3 shows only a part of the display). This reduction correlates with the theoretically expected complexity reduction from 4^3 (64-fold) to a 4^5 (1024-fold) reduction when 3
and 5 total anchor bases, respectively, are used. Although a general conservation in the pattern of the displayed fragments was observed, clear differences between the twin pairs were also noted when an identical primer set was used for amplification.

A T-primer composed solely of a tandemly repeated sequence may anneal to any location within the target tandem repeat. Furthermore, the length of the repeat will be successively shortened with each round of amplification until it reaches a minimum equal to the length of the tandem repeat in the T-primer itself. Anchor nucleotides in primers targeting tandemly repeated sequences function to reduce complexity but also to target the primer to the 3' or 5' end of the tandem repeat. The data in Fig. 3 also show the differences in DNAs of monozygotic twins (compare lanes 3A and 3B, the differences are marked by arrows).

3.3. Reproducibility of TGDD

Reproducibility was assessed by comparing displayed pattern obtained using DNA isolated from the same individual several times (Fig. 4). In the depicted experiment, TGDD was accomplished with (CAG)$_n$-contain-

![Fig. 4. Reproducibility of TGDD targeting of (CAG)$_n$-containing sequences. Genomic DNA from the same individual was isolated twice from blood (lanes 1 and 2) and twice from buccal smears (lanes 3 and 4). TGDD was done using A- and T-primer oligonucleotide 14 and 9, respectively. See text and Fig. 2 legend for details.](image-url)
ing genomic fragments obtained from genomic DNA templates isolated several times from the same blood sample (lane 1 and 2) or from buccal scrape of the same individual (lane 3 and 4). PCR amplifications were utilized with a 5’ terminally anchored T-primer (oligonucleotide 12, Table 1) in combination with a nested HaeIII A-primers. The results show that the same pattern of fragments is displayed when the same DNA is isolated multiple times from the same tissue or from different tissues of the same individual.

3.4. Effectiveness of targeting

The sequences of ten randomly chosen cloned products were determined from a Sau96 I genome subset targeted to CAG-repeat containing sequences (Table 2). In this experiment, the first PCR reaction used oligonucleotides 3 and 12, whereas the second PCR reaction used oligonucleotides 16 and 12 (Table 1) as the A-primer and fluorescein-labeled 5’ anchored T-primer, respectively. All of the clones contained 6–8 perfectly, or imperfectly, repeated CAG trinucleotides at one terminus. Clones 3, 4, 5, 8 and 10 also contained multiple scattered CAG repeats nearby the tandem terminus. Clones 3, 4, 5, 8 and 10 also contained or imperfectly, repeated CAG trinucleotides at one respectively. All of the clones contained 6–8 perfectly, primer and fluorescein-labeled 5’ anchor a gene fragment with a Sau96 I restriction site upstream of the CAG-repeat sequence [32]. These results demonstrate high specificity of targeting, more than 90% of clones contain the targeted sequence. Note that similar cDNA studies obtained only 10–20% of products with the target sequence [33].

The prevalence of short repeat lengths in the cloned sequences correlates with the predominance of short CAG repeats (5–7 repeats) in the genome [34–36]. However, the results are also consistent with the preferential PCR amplification of short repeat lengths [38,39,24]. Increasingly stable hairpin structures formed from CAG-or CTG-repeating sequences of increasing length (for review see [37]) appear to inhibit PCR amplification. This means that short repeat sequences are preferentially amplified [38,39,24] and are distinguishable from long repeat sequences [38,24].

3.5. TGDD of HD alleles

A series of experiments, similar to those described by us [24], were performed to demonstrate that different

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clone #</th>
<th>Length of insert (bp)</th>
<th>DNA sequencea</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>AT(CG)_6-TGGAAACAAACACTCCAG-GATTTAGC...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>AT(CG)_6CAATTATTATGAA...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3b,c</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>ACTGTGCGTGAGAAACTG- TAGCTGCTGCTG-TGAAACTGATGCG-GATGGGCTTG(CTG)_7 TTGCTG...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4d</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>AA(CG)_6CCAG(CTG)_7 GGTCAAGGATAGGAGAG-GGCTG...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>A(CG)_6CCATAACTGCTTCCACTC- CAAAGGC...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>AA(CG)_6-TGGAAACAAACACTCCAG-GATC...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>C(CG)_6-GCTTGAGACCATCCATCTGA- GAACCCCTGA...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>AA(CG)_6GTGTTG(CTG)_6 GTGCTGTTGGTG(GTGG)_6 CTG...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>AT(CG)_6-GCCTGACATGCACCAC...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10e</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>A(CG)_6-TGTTGCTGTGCTGTGTTGT-T GTG...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Only the terminal sequence including the repeat sequence in the T-primer is shown. GenBank accession numbers for the entire sequences for clones 1–10 are U92822-U92824 and U92826-U92832. Sequence 10 is a fragment of transcriptional activator hSNF2a gene [32].

b Clone 3 did not contain repeat sequence of the T-primer.

c BLAST homology score is 4xe-28 to accession number X76572.

d BLAST homology score is 5.6xe-72 to accession number X73969.

e BLAST homology score is 8.4xe-27 to accession number D26155.

HD alleles could be detected by the PCR-based TGDD method described here. These experiments were required because the unmatched samples with different genetic background (three from an HD-affected kinship, HD-A, HD-B and HD-C and one anonymous control) used in these experiments were expected to and did display, many differences. Hence, a number of experiments were needed to confirm the identity of HD-containing fragments in the displays. Previously
performed experiments, using HD-specific PCR amplification had established that the HD-A and the control samples had two normal length ~ 20 (CAG)_n-repeats, whereas the HD-B and HD-C samples were homozygous expanded for ~ 40–46 repeats (Fig. 6A), as shown by [24]. TGDD of Sau96I fragments containing (CAG)_n subsets is shown in Fig. 6B. The arrow indicates the location of the fragment expected to have the normal length allele. The identity of the HD containing fragment was confirmed by subjecting the samples shown in Fig. 6B to an HD-specific PCR using one HD-specific primer along with a T-primer (Fig. 6c).

A heterogeneous ~ 100–110 bp product was present in the HD-A and control and absent in the HD-B and HD-C samples. The expected size of a normal length HD-allele was 110 bp when the 5' anchored primer annealed to the 5' end of the (CAG)_n repeat. These results corroborate recent experiments by ourselves [24] and others [3,38] on biased amplification of short repeat sequences. Since the T-primer can be anchored with unique nucleotides at its 3' end of the (CAG)_n-repeats, (see Fig. 3) and several base polymorphisms are present in the T-primer annealing site in this fragment. We suggest that these differences are responsible for the differential amplification of corresponding fragments displayed in Fig. 3. This method was also used to detect a 17-bp difference in monozygotic twins [27].

### 3.7. TDD of (CAG)_n containing cDNAs

A major goal of this research has been to develop a robust targeting method that can be applied to a variety of DNAs. For instance, the same principles of targeting were used to analyze differences in (CAG)_n containing subsets of expressed genes. In these experiments, mRNA isolated from different rat tissues was used as a template for TDD (Fig. 7). The used PCR primer combination included a 3 base anchoring, total. Clear differences of expressed gene fragments in different tissues can be observed.

### 4. Discussion

The semi-nested PCR targeted approach described here is the second targeting method we have developed. The first method used capture and PCR amplification for targeting, whereas the second solely uses PCR. Both methods are highly specific. However, the second method is simpler and hence potentially more suited for high throughput application, as it only requires PCR.

During the development of both methods the chosen target was (CAG)_n because of the importance of these sequences in human diseases. Targeting experiments has also been conducted with (CGG)_n [24], (CA)_n [40], LTR's [26] and Zn-finger motifs (Storm et al., unpublished data). Ongoing work is focused on developing a repertoire of target sequences that would be useful in a wide range of applications.

Protocols like TGDD and TDD are notoriously difficult technically [41]. Furthermore, a large number of experimental variables can influence the results. A preliminary study of some variables is reported in an another paper [40]. Recently we initiated experiments using genomic Saccharomyces cerevisiae DNA as template. This approach promises to be quite helpful in understanding variability in the protocol (Bouchard et al., unpublished results). Since the yeast genome sequence is completely known, the experimental results can be predicted and correlated with specific sequences on the genomic template.

Until now our major focus has been on genomic rather than cDNA differential display. There are a number of important applications for genomic DNA comparisons. For instance, current experiments are continuing to focus on identifying epigenetic/somatic changes

---

**Fig. 5.** DNA sequence analysis of polymorphic fragments revealed by TGDD targeting of (CAG)_n-containing restriction fragments (see Fig. 3, sample 1B and 2B). Differences are marked in bold and the location of the primer annealing site is underlined.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DNA</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1B</td>
<td>TTTGCAATGA ATCTTTCTCT CGTGTACGTC TTGGAATCAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1B'</td>
<td>TTTGCAATGA ATCTTTCTCT CGTGTACGTC TTGGAATCAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1B''</td>
<td>TTTGCAATGA ATCTTTCTCT CGTGTACGTC TTGGAATCAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2B</td>
<td>TTTGCAATGA ATCTTTCTCT CGTGTACGTC TTGGAATCAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2B'</td>
<td>TTTGCAATGA ATCTTTCTCT CGTGTACGTC TTGGAATCAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2B''</td>
<td>TTTGCAATGA ATCTTTCTCT CGTGTACGTC TTGGAATCAG</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

that occur during development and/or aging in different tissues and in monozygotic twins. In many instances it would be useful to obtain quantitative information about the level of differences between samples. The *S. cerevisiae* experiments mentioned above should allow us to develop quantitative methods of analysis. Ongoing experiments are applying signal processing methods to develop automated high throughput analysis of size fractionated products of the targeting procedure.

Here, the utility of a PCR based targeting method for creating libraries containing the target sequence or for comparing nucleic acid fingerprints of different samples was demonstrated. A DNA subset containing a target sequence can be used in other ways. For instance, genome subset may serve as input for subtractive hybridization methodology [21,22] or analyzed by arrays of capture probes [42]. In the latter case, analysis of array elements by mass spectrometric methods would
targeted differential display of double stranded cDNA isolated from heart (lane 1), medulla/pons (lane 2), and cerebellum (lane 3) of a hypertensive rat (136 mmHg MAP). cDNA was digested with restriction enzyme RsaI and ligated to adapter oligonucleotides. CAG-repeat containing fragments were amplified by PCR first with Cy5 labeled T-primer and an A-primer (oligonucleotides 13 and 3, respectively, Table 1) and then with the same T-primer and an anchored A-primer (oligonucleotide 6, Table 1). Fluorescent intensity versus fragment size was measured on an ALF-express DNA sequencer. The data are autoscaled in each lane by instrument software. The displayed patterns show clear differences in expressed fragments in the length range of 140 bp and at about 175 bp. Arrows (¡) indicate the polymorphic peaks.
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