Europeans of the Orient

A recent museum exhibit in Paris delved into the question of how Orientalism has changed the image of the Jew in Europe, for both Christians and Jews.

Les Juifs dans l’orientalisme
(The Jews in Orientalism), with an introduction and under the direction of Laurence Sigal-Klagsbald.
Skira Flammarion: Musee d’art et d’histoire du Judaisme (in French), 197 pages, 35.50 euros

By Simon J. Rabinovitch

Earlier this year, the Musee d’art et d’histoire du Judaisme, in Paris, brought together works of art from over 40 museums in Europe, Israel and the United States that in some way reflect how to Europeans, over the course of the 19th and early 20th century, Jews became associated with the “Orient.” For most of its history, “Orientalism” referred to the study and representation of the “Orient” – the Muslim world – in Western art, architecture, literature, music and scholarship. Yet today, Orientalism is more commonly understood as a frame of mind wherein the “Orient” exists as a fantasy place at the periphery of civilization (the Occident).
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In no small part due to the influence of the late literary scholar Edward Said, Orientalism is perceived as a mode of Western imperialism: a means to dominate the East as much as to describe it. The success of Said’s 1978 book “Orientalism,” and the significant role he played both as an advocate for Palestinians and a founder of postcolonial studies, led to a situation where, until very recently, those who looked at the relationship between Jews and Orientalism focused on Jews as Orientalists, whether as Zionists in a supposedly colonialist enterprise, or as Jewish Orientalist scholars in the service of European imperialism. Yet because Jews were an important and visible part of the Muslim world at the time of expanding European empires, they were also from the very start a key subject of European Orientalist art and music.

From the earliest encounters by French artists with African Jews during and after the Napoleonic campaigns, to the internalization of an Oriental self-image by self-described “New Hebrews” – Jewish artists who had moved from Eastern Europe to Palestine in the early 20th century – the exhibit (which ran from March through early last month) and its beautifully illustrated companion volume look at the relationship between the Jews and Orientalist art from a variety of European and Jewish perspectives.

Several French museums have in fact held exhibitions in recent years examining Orientalism. As Laurence Sigal-Klagsbald, the director of the Paris Jewish Museum, points out in his introduction to the catalog, the continued interest in Orientalist art suggests that the polemics that resulted from a critical look at colonialism clearly did not discredit or devalue the visual art (or, one might add, music and literature, either) that is a byproduct of European colonialism. In identifying the Jews as a connecting point between Orient and Occident, this exhibit attempts, as one of its curators, Nicolas Feuille, told me, “to go beyond the schematic view of Edward Said.”

Each of the catalog’s five excellent essays – by the art historians Sigal-Klagsbald, Christine Peltrie, Alexis Merle du Bourg, Ygal Zalmiona and Perrine Simon-Nahum – examines a different aspect of Orientalism’s trajectory, from its historical context in the early 19th century to the scholarly debates about Orientalism today. Like the exhibit, the catalog focuses on the question of how Orientalism changed the image of the Jew in Europe, for both Christians and Jews. According to Sigal-Klagsbald, how Christian artists viewed North African and Middle Eastern Jews developed in stages: They saw them successively “as ethnographic subjects, exotic figures, negative mirrors of Europe, fantasy objects (positive or pejorative) about an ‘ancient race,’” before Jewish artists in Europe and Palestine in the early 20th century began to employ the image of the Oriental Jew in their own art and in their search for new identities. As such, the exhibition looks at Jews both as subjects and artists.

The initial idea for an exhibit on Jews and Orientalism was to focus on Eugène Delacroix’s trip to North Africa following the French conquest of Algiers, in 1830. While accompanying a French diplomatic mission as an official artist, Delacroix made many sketches and drawings that would form the reference for his later oeuvre of Orientalist painting, and inaugurated the depiction of the “Jewish type” – usually female – in the genre. Other French artists, such as Theodore Chasseriau and Alfred Dehodencq, both of whom began to paint in Morocco and Algeria in the 19th century, looked to Jewish subjects possibly because they proved more willing than the Muslim population to sit for portraits, and possibly large gold earrings and adorned in a gold boho style and flowing red robe, staries seriously, even nervously, at the artist. In contrast, the bride’s black African servant stands behind her, leaning against the wall with a relaxed and knowing smile.

The last painting of the section about the “discovery” of Oriental Jews, by William Wyld, depicts Jews departing Algeria for the Holy Land, making it a beautiful segue into a series of 19th-century paintings of Jerusalem and its surroundings by European artists. In Wyld’s technically detailed canvases, a crowd of hundreds, if not thousands, of Jews and onlookers gather outside the walls of Algiers. It is up to the viewer to discern the many facial expressions and small details that abound, and differentiate between those who are about to board the waiting ships and those staying behind.

Intimacy of the Wall

Notable among the Jerusalem landscapes, paintings by Vasily Vereshchagin and Alexandre Bida (both titled “Solomon’s Walls”) depict women and children pressed against the Western Wall in prayer. In Vereshchagin’s painting, one can feel the intimacy of the Wall as a prayer space before the occupation was constructed in front of it in 1967. The image of men and women praying side-by-side in the painting (corroborated extensively in the catalog from the museum’s permanent collection) is a stark contrast to the strict gender separation today.

European artists traveling to the Holy Land in the 19th century believed that they could come closer to authentically representing the Bible by using Middle Eastern Jews, Bedouins and Arabs as subjects. The Orientalization of biblical art has its origins not only in colonialism, but also in the influence of Rembrandt and the anti-classical trend in European art, which was growing at the time, as well as the popularity of written historical works such as Ernest Renan’s 1863 “The Life of Jesus,” which situated the emergence of Christianity in its Roman and Jewish setting. Later in the century, Jewish artists such as Lesser Ury (whom a young Martin Buber called a “poet of the Jewish soul”) and Maurice Gottlieb painted scenes from the Hebrew Bible and the New Testament, with the intention of either giving these texts universal significance or explicitly linking the origins of Western civilization to the Jews. In one self-portrait echoing the style of Rembrandt, Gottlieb – a Galician Jew –
At some point between its departure from Aleppo and its arrival in Israel — and possibly even after its arrival in Israel — several hundred of the volume’s pages went missing, including almost the entirety of the text of the Torah, never to reappear.

Who represents the Jews?

At the root of the complex story of the Crown of Aleppo are two very different ideas about what exile means and who represents the Jewish people. For many of the Jews who left Aleppo in the 1940s and 50s, largely immigrating not to Israel but to New York and more far-flung countries, Aleppo had been home, the crown a symbol of their ancient and vibrant community. For them, the flight was not simply a flight from exile but also a flight from their city marked not the end but the beginning of exile, and from their perspective the Crown was undeservedly taken from them to keep. For Zionist leaders, the Crown, like the Dead Sea Scrolls, became a symbol of a larger Jewish history of exile one that had now triumphantly returned to the newly born Jewish State. The question of how Murad Faham, the Syrian Jew entrusted with spiriting the Codex out of Aleppo and into Israel, comes to hand it over not to the head of the Aleppo community but to the Aliya Department of the Jewish Agency, would create generations of disagreements and a court case, finally resulting in the Aleppo Jewish community losing their greatest treasure.

In describing the current state of the Aleppo Codex, now partially on display in the Shrine of the Book at the Israel Museum, Friedman does not mince words.

“The Crown of Aleppo was never given to Israel,” he writes. “It was taken.”

As the story moves from the past to the present day, it reads less like a history book than a detective novel, as Friedman obsessively pursues the question of the codex, that in the end are the least gripping, for they lack the novelistic detail of the earlier scenes. Friedman’s writing shines most when he resurrects a time and a place now vanished, and it is the residents of Aleppo and the ancient community they lost who are most memorable, as are the powerful stories of men fleeing Syria on Shabbat so they would not be suspected of being Jewish, the ungrateful hands of a Christian safe house on the Lebanese border that served as a haven for Jewish refugees, and the tale of a Jewish woman fleeing Syria by boat who cannot stand the taste of the pickled herring she is offered by a well-meaning local when she arrives in Israel. We read “The Aleppo Codex” expecting a book about a lost world, but we are captivated by a world lost instead.

The controversy surrounding the damaged codex does not stop upon its arrival in Israel, but only deepens.
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went so far as to paint a personalized fantasy of himself as an exotic, photographic, with sword in hand, he created an exotic Oriental alter-ego.

When Jews eventually attempted to construct a Hebrew national art, first in Ephraim Moses Lilien’s Jewish- and Zionist-themed art and then through the Bezalel art school in Jerusalem, a number of elements in their relationship to Orientalism appear to come together. Lilien used biblical imagery and romanticized Jews in Arab and Bedouin dress — in tapestries, graphic art, lithographs, and other media — to make explicit the connection between them and the Land of Israel.

While Bezelal’s founder, Boris Schatz, hoped the school would create the symbols for a new Hebrew national culture in Palestine, he also believed that in doing so the school itself would become a powerful national symbol. Nonetheless, there is little in the paintings in the final section of the exhibit, which displays the works of artists who attended Bezelal, that was quite so identifiably nationalist as, say, Lilien’s lithographs of biblical prophet or his photographic portrait of Theodor Herzl (Lilien helped Schatz to establish the school). Reuven Rubin, Pinchas Littinovsky, Nachum Gutman and Arieh El-Hanani all used Impressionist and Cubist techniques to paint Arabs and, especially, Middle Eastern landscapes, but not those from Yemen. These pieces, unlike the Jugendstil-influenced works of Lilien and Schatz, don’t envision the Jews as the Europeans of the Orient — adopt the equation: that European Jews also reverse their emancipation. Although many European Jews in the 19th and early 20th centuries went to great lengths to emphasize their Europeanness, one can’t escape the fact that European Jews also became fascinated by their growing association with the Middle East over the course of the 20th century. In many cases, the Jews in those places cast themselves as the Europeans of the Orient — adopting French, English and Italian — in a mirror image of the Jews’ Orientalization in Europe. And in perhaps the greatest irony of all, after many years of Occidental and Oriental Jews merging in the imagination of Europeans, Jews built a self-conscious European state, albeit in the Middle East, that both reinforced and challenged in new ways the divergent identities of Jews coming from the Christian West and the Muslim, or Oriental, East.

The controversy at Boston University.
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