

## Technical Appendix

### TA.1. System Reduction

Here, I derive the closed-form vector equations (28), describing how the endogenous variables respond to financial and productivity shocks to each industry, to a first-order approximation. Given system of log-linearized equations, we want to reduce the system of  $3M^2 + 5M + 2$  equations to one of  $M$  flows and  $M$  driving processes.

First, define some notation.  $\forall z_{it}$ , let  $z_t$  be an  $M$ -by-1 vector  $z_t \equiv \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{z}_{1t} \\ \vdots \\ \tilde{z}_{Mt} \end{bmatrix}$ . And  $\forall z_{ijt}$  let  $z_t$

by a  $M^2$ -by-1 vector such that

$$z_t \equiv \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{z}_{11t} \\ \tilde{z}_{21t} \\ \vdots \\ \tilde{z}_{M1t} \\ \tilde{z}_{12t} \\ \tilde{z}_{22t} \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \tilde{z}_{MMt} \end{bmatrix}$$

Let industry output be denoted by  $y_i$  instead of  $x_i$ , so that  $x_{ij}$  unambiguously denotes intermediate goods use. Let  $I_M$  denote the  $M$ -by- $M$  identity matrix, and  $1_{M \times 1} \otimes I_M$  and  $I_M \otimes 1_{M \times 1}$  be  $M^2$ -by- $M$  matrices of zeros and ones such that

$$\begin{aligned}
1_{M \times 1} \otimes I_M &\equiv \begin{bmatrix} I_M \\ \vdots \\ I_M \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} & I_M \otimes 1_{M \times 1} &\equiv \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \\ 1 & 0 & & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \\ 0 & 1 & & 0 \\ & \vdots & & \\ & \vdots & & \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \\ 0 & 0 & & 1 \end{bmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

Let  $p_{num}$  and  $c_{cum}$  respectively denote 1-by-1 values for the price and household consumption of the numeraire good in equilibrium at  $t$ . (Note that by definition, the price of the numeraire doesn't change; hence  $p_{num,t} = 0 \forall t$ ). Let  $diag(\cdot)$  be the diagonal operator, putting its vector argument into the diagonal of a square matrix of zeros. Let  $x \bullet y$  denote the element-wise product of matrices  $x$  and  $y$ . Let starred variables denote observed or calibrated coefficients; e.g.  $\frac{p_i c_i^*}{p_i x_i^*}$  denotes the share of consumption of industry  $i$ 's total output, observed from input-output tables.

Re-write equilibrium system of log-linear equations using the above notation.

$$x_t = \Omega_{x\phi}\phi_t + \Omega_{xy}y_t + \Omega_{xp}p_t \quad (1)$$

$$\tilde{w}_t \vec{1}_{M \times 1} + n_t = \phi_t + p_t + y_t \quad (2)$$

$$p_t + c_t = p_{num,t} \vec{1}_{M \times 1} + c_{num,t} \vec{1}_{M \times 1} \quad (3)$$

$$\ell_t = \Omega_{\ell p}p_t + \Omega_{\ell y}y_t - x_t \quad (4)$$

$$\nu_t = x_t - \Omega_{\nu y}y_t \quad (5)$$

$$\phi_t = \Omega_{\phi B}B_t - \Omega_{\phi\nu}\nu_t - \Omega_{\phi\ell}\ell_t \quad (6)$$

$$y_t = \Omega_{yc}c_t + \Omega_{yx}x_t \quad (7)$$

$$y_t = z_t + \Omega_{yn}n_t + J_{yx}x_t \quad (8)$$

$$\cdot \quad (9)$$

$$\tilde{N}_t = \Omega_{Nn}n_t \quad (10)$$

$$(1 + \epsilon)\tilde{N}_t = \Omega_{Nc}c_t \quad (11)$$

where matrix and vector coefficients are given by

$$\Omega_{x\phi} \equiv 1_{M \times 1} \otimes I_M \quad \Omega_{xy} \equiv 1_{M \times 1} \otimes I_M \quad \Omega_{xp} \equiv (1_{M \times 1} \otimes I_M - I_M \otimes 1_{M \times 1})$$

$$\Omega_{\ell p} \equiv (1_{M \times 1} \otimes I_M - I_M \otimes 1_{M \times 1}) \quad \Omega_{\ell y} \equiv 1_{M \times 1} \otimes I_M$$

$$\Omega_{\nu y} \equiv I_M \otimes 1_{M \times 1}$$

$$\Omega_{\phi B} \equiv \text{diag} \left( \frac{B_i^*}{r_i \phi_i} \right) \quad \Omega_{\phi \ell} = \Omega_{\phi \nu} \equiv \left[ \alpha \text{diag} \left( \frac{\ell_{ci} \nu_{ci}^*}{r_i \phi_i} \right) (I_M \otimes 1_{M \times 1}) \right]^T$$

$$\Omega_{yc} \equiv \text{diag} \left( \frac{p_i c_i^*}{p_i x_i^*} \right) \quad \Omega_{yx} \equiv \left[ \text{diag} \left( \frac{p_i x_{ci}^*}{p_i x_i^*} \right) (I_M \otimes 1_{M \times 1}) \right]^T$$

$$\Omega_{yn} \equiv \text{diag}(\eta) \quad J_{yx} \equiv \left[ (\text{diag}(\vec{1}_{M^2 \times 1} - \eta^I) \bullet \text{diag}(\omega)) (I_M \otimes 1_{M \times 1}) \right]^T$$

where  $\omega = [\omega_{11} \ \omega_{21} \ \cdots \ \omega_{M1} \ \omega_{12} \ \omega_{22} \ \cdots \ \omega_{MM}]^T$  is a  $M^2$ -by-1 vector, and  $\eta^I \equiv (\eta_1 \ \cdots \ \eta_M \ \eta_1 \ \cdots \ \eta_M \ \cdots \ \eta_M)^T$  is a  $M^2$ -by-1 vector ( $\vec{\eta}$  stacked on itself  $M$  times).

$$\Omega_{wc} \equiv \left[ \text{diag}(\beta) \vec{1}_{M \times 1} \right]^T$$

$$\Omega_{Nn} \equiv \left[ \text{diag} \left( \frac{n_i^*}{N} \right) \vec{1}_{M \times 1} \right]^T$$

$$\Omega_{Nc} = \Omega_{wc}$$

Now reduce the system by solving it algebraically. Plug (4) and (5) into (6):

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_t &= \Omega_{\phi B} B_t - \Omega_{\phi\nu} (x_t - \Omega_{\nu y} y_t) - \Omega_{\phi\ell} (\Omega_{\ell p} p_t + \Omega_{\ell y} y_t - x_t) \\ &= \Omega_{\phi B} B_t + (\Omega_{\phi\ell} - \Omega_{\phi\nu}) x_t + (\Omega_{\phi\nu} \Omega_{\nu y} - \Omega_{\phi\ell} \Omega_{\ell y}) y_t - \Omega_{\phi\ell} \Omega_{\ell p} p_t \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Noting that  $p_{num,t} = 0$ , replace (3) and (12) into (1).

$$x_t = \Omega_{x\phi} \Omega_{\phi B} B_t + \Omega_{x\phi} (\Omega_{\phi\ell} - \Omega_{\phi\nu}) x_t + [\Omega_{x\phi} (\Omega_{\phi\nu} \Omega_{\nu y} - \Omega_{\phi\ell} \Omega_{\ell y}) + \Omega_{xy}] y_t + (\Omega_{xp} - \Omega_{x\phi} \Omega_{\phi\ell} \Omega_{\ell p}) c_{num,t} \vec{1}_{Mx1} + \underbrace{(\Omega_{x\phi} \Omega_{\phi\ell} \Omega_{\ell p} - \Omega_{xp})}_{(13)} c_t$$

So

$$x_t = AB_t + Dy_t + Ec_{num,t} \vec{1}_{Mx1} + Fc_t \quad (14)$$

where

$$A \equiv [I_{M^2} - \Omega_{x\phi} (\Omega_{\phi\nu} - \Omega_{\phi\ell})]^{-1} \Omega_{x\phi} \Omega_{\phi B} \quad D \equiv [I_{M^2} - \Omega_{x\phi} (\Omega_{\phi\nu} - \Omega_{\phi\ell})]^{-1} [\Omega_{x\phi} (\Omega_{\phi\nu} \Omega_{\nu y} - \Omega_{\phi\ell} \Omega_{\ell y}) + \Omega_{xy}]$$

$$E \equiv [I_{M^2} - \Omega_{x\phi} (\Omega_{\phi\nu} - \Omega_{\phi\ell})]^{-1} (\Omega_{xp} - \Omega_{x\phi} \Omega_{\phi\ell} \Omega_{\ell p}) \quad F \equiv [I_{M^2} - \Omega_{x\phi} (\Omega_{\phi\nu} - \Omega_{\phi\ell})]^{-1} (\Omega_{x\phi} \Omega_{\phi\ell} \Omega_{\ell p} - \Omega_{xp})$$

Also plug (3) and (12) into (2). This yields

$$\tilde{w}_t \vec{1}_{Mx1} + n_t = \Omega_{\phi B} B_t + (\Omega_{\phi\ell} - \Omega_{\phi\nu}) x_t + [(\Omega_{\phi\nu} \Omega_{\nu y} - \Omega_{\phi\ell} \Omega_{\ell y}) + I_M] y_t + [I_M - \Omega_{\phi\ell} \Omega_{\ell p}] c_{num,t} \vec{1}_{Mx1} + (\Omega_{\phi\ell} \Omega_{\ell p} - I_M) c_t$$

which implies

$$\tilde{w}_t \vec{1}_{Mx1} + n_t = \Omega_{\phi B} B_t - Gx_t + Hy_t + Jc_{num,t} \vec{1}_{Mx1} + Kc_t \quad (15)$$

where

$$G \equiv -(\Omega_{\phi\nu} - \Omega_{\phi\ell}) \quad H \equiv (\Omega_{\phi\nu} \Omega_{\nu y} - \Omega_{\phi\ell} \Omega_{\ell y} + I_M) \quad J \equiv (I_M - \Omega_{\phi\ell} \Omega_{\ell p}) \quad K \equiv (\Omega_{\phi\ell} \Omega_{\ell p} - I_M)$$

Plugging (3) into (9) yields

$$(IGNORE) \quad \tilde{w}_t = \Omega_{wc} c_{num,t} \vec{1}_{Mx1} \quad (16)$$

And plugging (10) into (11) yields

$$(1 + \epsilon) \Omega_{Nn} n_t = \Omega_{Nc} c_t \quad (17)$$

So far, the reduced system is given by (7), (8), and (14)-(17). Now plug (14) into (7) to obtain

$$y_t = Lc_t + OB_t + Pc_{num,t} \vec{1}_{Mx1} \quad (18)$$

where

$$L \equiv [I_M - \Omega_{yx}D]^{-1} (\Omega_{yc} + \Omega_{yx}F) \quad O \equiv [I_M - \Omega_{yx}D]^{-1} \Omega_{yx}A \quad P \equiv [I_M - \Omega_{yx}D]^{-1} \Omega_{yx}E$$

Now plug (15) into (17).

$$(\Omega_{Nc} - (1 + \epsilon) \Omega_{Nn}K) c_t = (1 + \epsilon) \Omega_{Nn} \left( \Omega_{\phi B} B_t - Gx_t + Hy_t + Jc_{num,t} \vec{1}_{Mx1} - \tilde{w}_t \vec{1}_{Mx1} \right) \quad (19)$$

Plug (14) into (15).

$$n_t = (\Omega_{\phi B} - GA) B_t + (H - GD) y_t + (J - GE) c_{num,t} \vec{1}_{Mx1} + (K - GF) c_t - \tilde{w}_t \vec{1}_{Mx1} \quad (20)$$

Then plug (14) and (20) into (8).

$$y_t = Qz_t + RB_t + Sc_{num,t} \vec{1}_{Mx1} + Tc_t - U\tilde{w}_t \vec{1}_{Mx1} \quad (21)$$

where

$$Q \equiv [I_M - J_{yx}D - \Omega_{yn}(H - GD)]^{-1} \quad R \equiv Q[\Omega_{yn}(\Omega_{\phi B} - GA) + J_{yx}A] \quad S \equiv Q[\Omega_{yn}(J - GE) + J_{yx}E]$$

$$T \equiv Q[\Omega_{yn}(K - GF) + J_{yx}F] \quad U \equiv Q\Omega_{yn}$$

Combine (21) with (18).

$$c_t = Vz_t + WB_t + Xc_{num,t} \vec{1}_{Mx1} - A_2 \tilde{w}_t \vec{1}_{Mx1} \quad (22)$$

where

$$V \equiv [L - T]^{-1} Q \quad W \equiv [L - T]^{-1} (R - O) \quad X \equiv [L - T]^{-1} (S - P) \quad A_2 \equiv [L - T]^{-1} U$$

The goal is to make use of (22), (16), and (19) to solve for  $c_{num,t}$ ,  $c_t$ ,  $\tilde{w}_t$ . But first, we need to replace  $x_t$  and  $y_t$  in (19) using (18) and (14).

Now we want to re-write (19) replacing  $x_t$  and  $y_t$  using (21) and (14). First get rid of  $y_t$  in (14) using (18). This yields

$$x_t = (A + DO) B_t + (DL + F) c_t + (DP + E) c_{num,t} \vec{1}_{Mx1} \quad (23)$$

Plugging this into (19) yields

$$(\Omega_{Nc} - (1 + \epsilon) \Omega_{Nn} K) c_t = (1 + \epsilon) \Omega_{Nn} \left( [\Omega_{\phi B} - G(A + DO)] B_t - G(DL + F) c_t + Hy_t + [J - G(DP + E)] c_{num,t} \vec{1}_{Mx1} - \tilde{w}_t \vec{1}_{Mx1} \right) \quad (24)$$

And now plugging (18) into this yields

$$(\Omega_{Nc} - (1 + \epsilon) \Omega_{Nn} K) c_t = (1 + \epsilon) \Omega_{Nn} \left( [\Omega_{\phi B} - G(A + DO) + HO] B_t + [HL - G(DL + F)] c_t + [HP + J - G(DP + E)] c_{num,t} \vec{1}_{Mx1} - \tilde{w}_t \vec{1}_{Mx1} \right) \quad (25)$$

This implies

$$(B_2 - E_2) c_t = D_2 B_t + F_2 c_{num,t} \vec{1}_{Mx1} - G_2 \tilde{w}_t \vec{1}_{Mx1} \quad (26)$$

where

$$G_2 \equiv (1 + \epsilon) \Omega_{Nn} \quad B_2 \equiv (\Omega_{Nc} - G_2 K) \quad D_2 \equiv G_2 [\Omega_{\phi B} - G(A + DO) + HO]$$

$$E_2 \equiv G_2 [HL - G(DL + F)] \quad F_2 \equiv G_2 [HP + J - G(DP + E)]$$

I now use (22) and (26), which contain M+1 separate equations, to solve for  $c_t$  and  $\tilde{w}_t$ , which contain M+1 unknowns. (Recall that  $c_{num,t}$  is contained in  $c_t$ ). First, suppose that the numeraire good is good M, so that  $\tilde{p}_{M,t} = 0$  and  $\tilde{c}_{num,t} = \tilde{c}_{M,t}$ . Also let  $V_M$ ,  $A_{2,M}$ ,  $W_M$ , and  $X_M$ , denote the M'th rows of the M-by-M matrices  $V$ ,  $A_2$ ,  $W$ , and  $X$ , respectively. Then the M'th row of (22) is given by

$$\tilde{c}_{M,t} = V_M z_t + W_M B_t + X_M \vec{1}_{Mx1} \tilde{c}_{M,t} - A_{2,M} \vec{1}_{Mx1} \tilde{w}_t$$

which implies

$$\tilde{w}_t = \frac{1}{A_{2,M}\vec{1}_{Mx1}} \left( V_M z_t + W_M B_t + \left[ X_M \vec{1}_{Mx1} - 1 \right] \tilde{c}_{M,t} \right) \quad (27)$$

Then (26) implies

$$(B_2 - E_2) c_t = D_2 B_t + \left( F_2 \vec{1}_{Mx1} \right) \tilde{c}_{M,t} - \frac{G_2 \vec{1}_{Mx1}}{A_{2,M}\vec{1}_{Mx1}} \left( V_M z_t + W_M B_t + \left[ X_M \vec{1}_{Mx1} - 1 \right] \tilde{c}_{M,t} \right)$$

Define the scalar  $Q_3$  as

$$Q_3 \equiv \frac{G_2 \vec{1}_{Mx1}}{A_{2,M}\vec{1}_{Mx1}}$$

Then the above implies

$$(B_2 - E_2) c_t = (D_2 - Q_3 W_M) B_t - Q_3 V_M z_t + \left( F_2 \vec{1}_{Mx1} - Q_3 \left[ X_M \vec{1}_{Mx1} - 1 \right] \right) \tilde{c}_{M,t}$$

which yields

$$\tilde{c}_{M,t} = \frac{1}{R_3} (B_2 - E_2) c_t - \frac{1}{R_3} (D_2 - Q_3 W_M) B_t + \frac{1}{R_3} Q_3 V_M z_t \quad (28)$$

where  $R_3$  is a scalar.

$$R_3 \equiv F_2 \vec{1}_{Mx1} - Q_3 \left[ X_M \vec{1}_{Mx1} - 1 \right]$$

Combining (28) and (27) yields

$$\tilde{w}_t = S_3 z_t + T_3 B_t + U_3 c_t \quad (29)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} S_3 &\equiv \frac{1}{A_{2,M}\vec{1}_{Mx1}} \left( V_M + \left[ X_M \vec{1}_{Mx1} - 1 \right] \frac{1}{R_3} Q_3 V_M \right) \\ T_3 &\equiv \frac{1}{A_{2,M}\vec{1}_{Mx1}} \left( W_M - \left[ X_M \vec{1}_{Mx1} - 1 \right] \frac{1}{R_3} (D_2 - Q_3 W_M) \right) \\ U_3 &\equiv \frac{1}{A_{2,M}\vec{1}_{Mx1}} \left( \left[ X_M \vec{1}_{Mx1} - 1 \right] \frac{1}{R_3} (B_2 - E_2) \right) \end{aligned}$$

Now, plugging (28) and (29) into (22) yields

$$\left( I_M - X \vec{1}_{Mx1} \left[ \frac{1}{R_3} (B_2 - E_2) \right] \right) c_t = \left( V + X \vec{1}_{Mx1} \frac{1}{R_3} Q_3 V_M \right) z_t + \left( W - X \vec{1}_{Mx1} \frac{1}{R_3} (D_2 - Q_3 W_M) \right) B_t - A_2 \vec{1}_{Mx1} \tilde{w}_t$$

$$\left( I_M - X\vec{1}_{M \times 1} \left[ \frac{1}{R_3} (B_2 - E_2) \right] \right) c_t = \left( V + X\vec{1}_{M \times 1} \frac{1}{R_3} Q_3 V_M \right) z_t + \left( W - X\vec{1}_{M \times 1} \frac{1}{R_3} (D_2 - Q_3 W_M) \right) B_t - A_2 \vec{1}_{M \times 1} (S_3 z_t + T_3 B_t + U_3 c_t)$$

$$V_3 c_t = W_3 z_t + A_4 B_t$$

where

$$V_3 \equiv I_M - X\vec{1}_{M \times 1} \left[ \frac{1}{R_3} (B_2 - E_2) \right] + A_2 \vec{1}_{M \times 1} U_3$$

$$W_3 \equiv V + X\vec{1}_{M \times 1} \frac{1}{R_3} Q_3 V_M - A_2 \vec{1}_{M \times 1} S_3$$

$$A_4 \equiv W - X\vec{1}_{M \times 1} \frac{1}{R_3} (D_2 - Q_3 W_M) - A_2 \vec{1}_{M \times 1} T_3$$

Thus, the closed-form expression for  $c_t$  is

$$c_t = cCoeffz z_t + cCoeffB B_t$$

where

$$cCoeffz z_t \equiv V_3^{-1} W_3 \quad cCoeffB B_t \equiv V_3^{-1} A_4$$

Given this closed-form expression, we can now similarly find closed-form expressions for all of the other variables in the system. Then (29) implies a closed-form expression for  $\tilde{w}_t$ .

$$\tilde{w}_t = wCoeffz z_t + wCoeffB B_t \tag{30}$$

where

$$wCoeffz \equiv S_3 + U_3 cCoeffz$$

$$wCoeffB \equiv T_3 + U_3 cCoeffB$$

And similarly for (28).

$$\tilde{c}_{M,t} = \left( \frac{1}{R_3} (B_2 - E_2) cCoeffz + \frac{1}{R_3} Q_3 V_M \right) z_t + \left( \frac{1}{R_3} (B_2 - E_2) cCoeffB - \frac{1}{R_3} (D_2 - Q_3 W_M) \right) B_t \tag{31}$$

Thus,



$$\tilde{c}_{M,t} = c^n \text{Coeff}fz z_t + c^n \text{Coeff}fBB_t \quad (32)$$

where

$$c^n \text{Coeff}fz \equiv \frac{1}{R_3} (B_2 - E_2) c \text{Coeff}fz + \frac{1}{R_3} Q_3 V_M$$

$$c^n \text{Coeff}fB \equiv \frac{1}{R_3} (B_2 - E_2) c \text{Coeff}fB - \frac{1}{R_3} (D_2 - Q_3 W_M)$$

Using (18) I obtain

$$y_t = L (c \text{Coeff}fBB_t + c \text{Coeff}fz z_t) + O B_t + (P \vec{1}_{Mx1}) (c^n \text{Coeff}fBB_t + c^n \text{Coeff}fz z_t)$$

which implies

$$y_t = y \text{Coeff}fBB_t + y \text{Coeff}fz z_t \quad (33)$$

where

$$y \text{Coeff}fB \equiv O + L c \text{Coeff}fB + (P \vec{1}_{Mx1}) c^n \text{Coeff}fB$$

$$y \text{Coeff}fz \equiv L c \text{Coeff}fz + (P \vec{1}_{Mx1}) c^n \text{Coeff}fz$$

Using (23) I obtain

$$x_t = (A + DO) B_t + (DL + F) (c \text{Coeff}fBB_t + c \text{Coeff}fz z_t) + [(DP + E) \vec{1}_{Mx1}] (c^n \text{Coeff}fBB_t + c^n \text{Coeff}fz z_t)$$

which implies

$$x_t = x \text{Coeff}fBB_t + x \text{Coeff}fz z_t \quad (34)$$

where

$$x \text{Coeff}fB \equiv (A + DO) + (DL + F) c \text{Coeff}fB + [(DP + E) \vec{1}_{Mx1}] c^n \text{Coeff}fB$$

$$x \text{Coeff}fz \equiv (DL + F) c \text{Coeff}fz + [(DP + E) \vec{1}_{Mx1}] c^n \text{Coeff}fz$$

Using (20) I obtain

$$n_t = (\Omega_{\phi B} - GA) B_t + (H - GD) (yCoeffBB_t + yCoeffzz_t) + [(J - GE) \vec{1}_{Mx1}] (c^n CoeffBB_t + c^n Coeffzz_t) + \dots$$

$$\dots + (K - GF) (cCoeffBB_t + cCoeffzz_t) - \vec{1}_{Mx1} (wCoeffBB_t + wCoeffzz_t)$$

which implies

$$n_t = nCoeffBB_t + nCoeffzz_t \quad (35)$$

where

$$nCoeffB \equiv (\Omega_{\phi B} - GA) + (H - GD) yCoeffB + [(J - GE) \vec{1}_{Mx1}] c^n CoeffB + (K - GF) cCoeffB - \vec{1}_{Mx1} wCoeffB$$

$$nCoeffz \equiv (H - GD) yCoeffz + [(J - GE) \vec{1}_{Mx1}] c^n Coeffz + (K - GF) cCoeffz - \vec{1}_{Mx1} wCoeffz$$

Using (10) I obtain

$$\tilde{N}_t = \Omega_{Nn} (nCoeffBB_t + nCoeffzz_t)$$

which implies

$$\tilde{N}_t = NCoeffBB_t + NCoeffzz_t \quad (36)$$

where

$$NCoeffB \equiv \Omega_{Nn} nCoeffB \quad NCoeffz \equiv \Omega_{Nn} nCoeffz$$

Using (3) I obtain

$$p_t = \vec{1}_{Mx1} (c^n CoeffBB_t + c^n Coeffzz_t) - cCoeffBB_t - cCoeffzz_t$$

which implies

$$p_t = pCoeffBB_t + pCoeffzz_t \quad (37)$$

where

$$pCoeffB \equiv \vec{1}_{Mx1} c^n CoeffB - cCoeffB \quad pCoeffz \equiv \vec{1}_{Mx1} c^n Coeffz - cCoeffz$$

Using (4) I obtain

$$\ell_t = \Omega_{\ell p}(pCoeffBB_t + pCoeffzz_t) + \Omega_{\ell y}(yCoeffBB_t + yCoeffzz_t) - xCoeffBB_t - xCoeffzz_t$$

which implies

$$\ell_t = \ell CoeffBB_t + \ell Coeffzz_t \quad (38)$$

where

$$\ell CoeffB \equiv \Omega_{\ell p}pCoeffB + \Omega_{\ell y}yCoeffB - xCoeffB$$

$$\ell Coeffz \equiv \Omega_{\ell p}pCoeffz + \Omega_{\ell y}yCoeffz - xCoeffz$$

Using (5) I obtain

$$\nu_t = xCoeffBB_t + xCoeffzz_t - \Omega_{\nu y}(yCoeffBB_t + yCoeffzz_t)$$

which implies

$$\nu_t = \nu CoeffBB_t + \nu Coeffzz_t \quad (39)$$

where

$$\nu CoeffB \equiv xCoeffB - \Omega_{\nu y}yCoeffB \quad \nu Coeffz \equiv xCoeffz - \Omega_{\nu y}yCoeffz$$

Finally, using (6) I obtain

$$\phi_t = \Omega_{\phi B}B_t - \Omega_{\phi \nu}(\nu CoeffBB_t + \nu Coeffzz_t \nu_t) - \Omega_{\phi \ell}(\ell CoeffBB_t + \ell Coeffzz_t)$$

which implies

$$\phi_t = \phi CoeffBB_t + \phi Coeffzz_t \quad (40)$$

where

$$\phi CoeffB \equiv \Omega_{\phi B} - \Omega_{\phi \nu}\nu CoeffB - \Omega_{\phi \ell}\ell CoeffB$$

$$\phi C o e f f z \equiv -\Omega_{\phi\nu} \nu C o e f f z - \Omega_{\phi\ell} \ell C o e f f z$$

Thus, equations (30)-(40) yield the closed form solution of the behavior of the economy to liquidity and productivity shocks.

Since, in the model,  $GDP = wN$ , then the first-order approximated percentage change in GDP is given by

$$\begin{aligned} G\tilde{D}P_t &= \tilde{w}_t + \tilde{N}_t \\ &= wC o e f f B B_t + wC o e f f z z_t + NC o e f f B B_t + NC o e f f z z_t \\ &= (wC o e f f B + NC o e f f B) B_t + (wC o e f f z + NC o e f f z) z_t \end{aligned}$$

### Constructing Liquidity and Productivity Shocks

Using this solution for  $y_t$  and  $n_t$  given by (33) and (35), I constructing industry-level liquidity and productivity shocks ( $B_t$  and  $z_t$ , respectively) from observed output growth data  $\hat{y}_t$  and  $\hat{n}_t$  as follows. Solving (35) for  $B_t$  yields

$$B_t = [nC o e f f B]^{-1} (\hat{n}_t - nC o e f f z z_t) \quad (41)$$

Plugging this into (33) yields

$$\hat{y}_t = yC o e f f B \left( [nC o e f f B]^{-1} (\hat{n}_t - nC o e f f z z_t) \right) + yC o e f f z z_t \quad (42)$$

Solving this for  $z_t$  yields

$$z_t = Q_3^{-1} \hat{y}_t - Q_3^{-1} yC o e f f B [nC o e f f B]^{-1} \hat{n}_t \quad (43)$$

where

$$Q_3 \equiv yC o e f f z - yC o e f f B [nC o e f f B]^{-1} nC o e f f z$$

Then plugging (43) back into (41) yields

$$B_t = [nC o e f f B]^{-1} (\hat{n}_t - nC o e f f z z_t) \quad (44)$$

Thus, the shocks at time  $t$  which hit each industry are observed fluctuations in output and employment, filtered for the effects of credit and input-output linkages in propagating them to other industries, and are given by (43) and (44).